

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.49938 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-64 Year-2024 Thana- BAHERA District- Darbhanga

=====

Pramod Kumar @ Pramod Roy Son of Ramchandra Roy Village - Madhopur
P. O. - Silout P. S.- Musahari Dist. - Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Virendra Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Braj Kishore Pd.(APP)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 11-08-2025 Heard the parties.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Bahera P.S. Case No. 64 of 2024, registered for the offences punishable under Section 379 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The informant gave a written report to the concerned police station, alleging therein that a Scorpio bearing registration No. BR07PA 7915 was purchased by him and his driver Durganand Choudhary used to drive the same. On 25.02.2024, the Scorpio was parked at the house of his driver, in the fateful night, the informant received a telephonic call that his car has been stolen away by some unknown thieves. Since the Scorpio was fitted with GPS, it transpired that the same has been taken away towards Dharora.



4. Learned Advocate for the petitioner submitted that only on account of the fact that the stolen Scorpio was found park near the house of the petitioner, his name has been implicated in this case. It is further submitted that the petitioner has neither been seen near the Scorpio car in question nor he was driving the same. That apart, the petitioner has no concern with the stolen vehicle and the mobile phone which was found inside the vehicle. He next contended that the petitioner is a man of fair antecedent and he undertakes before this Court that he will fully cooperate in the investigation and the proceeding of the court.

5. On the other hand, learned Advocate for the State vehemently opposes the bail application and submits that the recovery of the stolen car in front of the house of the petitioner speaks loud about the complicity of the petitioner.

6. Having considered the submissions set forth by the learned Advocates for the respective parties and taking note of the fact that except recovery of the car nearby the house of the petitioner, there is no other material suggesting his complicity in the theft, coupled with the fair antecedent of the petitioner and his undertaking before this Court, let the petitioner abovenamed be released on bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before



the court below within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order, upon furnishing bail bonds of Rs.20,000/- (twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned ACJM, Benipur, Darbhanga in connection with Bahera P.S. Case No. 64 of 2024, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, with the further condition that one of the bailors shall be the own/close family members of the petitioner.

(Harish Kumar, J)

Anjani/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

