

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12111 of 2025

1. Ramashankar Singh alias Ram Shankar Singh S/o Late Ayodhya Singh, R/o Shakarhar Tola, Ward No.-16, Mokama, P.S.-Mokama, District-Patna.
2. Deepak Kumar, S/o Anil Kumar, R/o Mohalla-Sohbegpur, Ward No.-22, Mokama, P.S.-Mokama, District-Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Urban Development, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Urban Development and Residence, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Commissioner, Patna Division, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate, Patna.
5. The Deputy Development Commissioner, Patna.
6. The Sub Divisional Officer, Barh, District-Patna.
7. The Executive Officer, Municipal Corporation Mokama, District-Patna.
8. The Executive Engineer, Bihar Urban Infrastructure Development Corporation Ltd. (BUDCO-1), East Division, Patna.
9. The Executive Engineer, Urban Development, District-Patna.
10. The Managing Director, Budco-2, Patna.
11. Mr. Harshit Garg, Assistant Engineer, BUIDCO, Patna East, Patna.
12. The Chairman, Mokama Municipal Corporation, Mokama, District-Patna.
13. The Director General, Vigilance Bureau, Bihar, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Binit Kumar, Advocate
For Vigilance	:	Mr. Rana Vikram Singh, Law Officer, Vig.
For the State	:	Mr. Bipin Kumar, A.C. to S.C.-3.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 28-07-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, learned counsel for the respondent No.12, learned counsel appearing for respondent No.13 and learned counsel for respondent-State.

2. At the very outset, learned counsel appearing for respondent No.12, has raised the objection with regard to the maintainability of the present writ application inviting the attention



of this Court to paragraph No.4 of the writ application which is quoted hereinbelow for needful :-

“4. That the petitioners are local resident of Mokama Municipal Area, Mokama. They are sensitive people. They are leading a public life and devoted to public service. They used to raise questions whenever any wrong doing as committed at any place particularly at their locality.”

3. From bare perusal of the statement made in paragraph 4 as aforesaid, it is apparent that remedy being sought in this writ application in the nature of public interest and, therefore, he submits that the present writ application is not maintainable as the behest of the petitioners.

4. Upon his objection being raised by learned counsel appearing for respondent No.12, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that he wants to withdraw the present writ application with liberty to file an appropriate petition before appropriate forum for relief.

5. As prayed for, the present writ application is dismissed as withdrawn with aforesaid liberty.

(Alok Kumar Sinha, J)

sanjeev/-

U			
---	--	--	--

