

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.49974 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-210 Year-2024 Thana- MUNGER MUFFASIL District- Munger

Anish Kumar S/o- Vijay Yadav Village- Mahuli Ps- Muffasil Dist- Munger
... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Raj Kishor Prasad

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Chandra Bhushan Prasad, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 18-08-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned

A.P.P. for the State, Shri Chandra Bhushan Prasad.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 342, 386, 379, 504, 506 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has antecedent of two cases and the informant alleges that accused persons intercepted the informant, who was coming on a tractor laden with grain, further on point of gun, took the tractor and abducted Raghuveer.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that petitioner has been implicated along with his father, namely, Vijay Yadav. It is further submitted that it does not appear probable that father and son together would



have committed the act.

5. The learned APP opposes the anticipatory bail application and submits that petitioner has antecedent of two cases and allegation is of abducting Raghuveer and taking away of the tractor on point of gun. It is next submitted that if the privilege of anticipatory bail is granted to the petitioner, the petitioner may abscond, on which the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that petitioner will not abscond rather will cooperate in the investigation to prove his innocence.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

7. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty-five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Muffasil P.S. Case No. 210 of 2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

8. One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be his maternal-uncle, Chhotu Kumar.



9. However, it is made clear that in the event, if the Investigating Officer of the case files an application before the learned trial court bringing to its notice that the petitioner, despite giving assurance to this Court, is not cooperating in the investigation, in that event, the learned trial court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

10. It is further made clear that the petitioner will keep marking his attendance in the concerned police station in between 27th to 30th of every month commencing from August 2025 till investigation is not over. In the event, if the petitioner does not mark his attendance in the concerned police station, in between the aforesaid dates in any month till investigation is not over, in that event also the Investigating Officer of the case will file an application before the learned trial court bringing to its notice that petitioner is violating the condition of the grant of anticipatory bail and the learned trial court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bond of the petitioner.

11. Let a copy of this order be sent to the concerned police station through the learned Trial Court.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

