

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.19791 of 2012

=====

Ram Sarowar Singh Son of Sri Raji Nandan Singh Resident Of Village- Dih Rampur, Police Station- Hayaghat, District- Darbhanga At Present as Company Commander, in the office of District Samadesta, Madhubani

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna
2. The Maha Samadesta, Bihar Home Guard Mukhyalaya, Bihar, Patna
3. The Up-Maha Samadesta, Bihar Home Guard Mukhyalaya, Bihar, Patna
4. The Divisional Samadesta, Bihar Home Guard, Darbhanga Division, Darbhanga
5. The Samadesta, Bihar Home Guard Mukhyalaya, Bihar, Patna
6. The District Samadesta, Bihar Home Guard Madhubani

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None
For the Respondent/s : Ms. Shail Kumari, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

4 16-09-2025 No one appears for the petitioner. Learned counsel
for the respondents is present.

2. The petitioner has filed the instant application for
the following relief(s):

*“i) For a direction to respondents authority
to retire the petitioner as per the date of birth
02.01.1960 (Second January Nineteen Sixty)
mentioned in the Service-Book as per the date of birth
of school certificate disposing the pending
representation.*

*(ii) For a direction to the respondents
authority to quash the order dated 13.11.1997
whereby and whereunder the date of birth of the*



petitioner mentioned in the Service-Book has been changed as 25.10.1952 (Twenty five October Nineteen Fifty Two) passed by The Samadesta, Bihar Home Guard Mukhyalaya, Bihar, Patna and same was not communicated to the petitioner as per the information communicated by the Samadesta, Bihar Home Guard Samastipur.

(iii) For a direction to any other relief/reliefs for which petitioner be found entitled to ”

3. No one appeared on behalf of the petitioner on 25.8.2025 when this Court recorded the following order:

“No one appears on behalf of the petitioner. Learned counsel for the respondent-State is present.

2. This is an old mater of the year 2013 (sic) and still the petitioner is not showing interest in appearing and pressing the writ application.

3. One last indulgence is being granted to the petitioner, flailing (sic) which on the next date, the Court may consider dismissing the writ application on merits.

4. Put up this case on 15.09.2025.”

4. As no one appears on behalf of the petitioner today also, the case is dismissed for non-prosecution.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

Saurabh/-

U			
---	--	--	--

