

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.48011 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-215 Year-2024 Thana- COMPLAINT CASE - BARH District-  
Patna

=====

Rana Ranveer Kumar S/o Sri Madan Singh, R/o Village- Nipaniya, P.S.-  
Phulwariya, District- Begusarai.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Beuty Kumari D/o Sri Devendra Singh, W/o Rana Ranvir Kumar R/o  
Village- Shivnar, PS- Mokama, Distt- Patna.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arbind Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ganesh Prasad Singh, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH  
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

3      03-11-2025                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned  
  
counsel for the informant/complainant and the learned A.P.P. for  
  
the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a  
  
case in connection with Complaint Case No. 215(C) of 2024  
  
dated 01.04.2024, registered for the offences punishable under  
  
Section 498A of the Indian Penal Code and under Sections 3  
  
and 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner and  
  
co-accused persons are alleged to have tortured the complainant  
  
mentally and physically due to non-fulfillment of demand of



one four wheeler vehicle and Rs. 6 lakh as dowry and ousted her from her matrimonial home.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. The petitioner neither demanded any dowry nor tortured the complainant. The allegation levelled in the complaint petition is false and fabricated and the petitioner has not committed any such offence as alleged in the complaint petition. The brothers of the complainant asked the petitioner to deposit Rs. 25 lakh in the account of the complainant and also demanded that petitioner has to pay Rs. 70,000/- per month for the maintenance of the complainant and when the petitioner refused to do so, they assaulted the petitioner and his family members and for this reason the petitioner lodged Phulwariya P.S. Case No. 25 of 2024. The petitioner also filed a Divorce Case No. 35 of 2024 before the learned Principal Judge, Family Court, Begusarai on 13.03.2024 in which notice was issued to the complainant *vide* order dated 18.03.2024 and just after that, the complainant has lodged this false complaint petition only to harass this petitioner and his family members. It is further submitted that the petitioner is the husband of the complainant and he has no concern with the alleged offence. The petitioner



has relied upon the judgment of this Court in the case of ***“Md. Naimul Haque Ansari @ Naimul Haque Ansari & Ors. Vs. The State of Bihar, reported in 2006(3) PLJR 182.”*** Learned counsel has further submitted that Section 498 A of the the Indian Penal Code is triable by the Magistrate. Learned counsel has further relied on the judgments in the case of ***Satendra Kumar Antil Vs. Central Bureau of Investigation and Another (2022) 10 SCR 351*** and ***Md. Asfak Alam Vs. The State of Jharkhand & Another*** passed in **Criminal Appeal No (s). 2207 of 2023** arising out of **Special Leave Petition (CRL.) No. 3433 of 2023**. The petitioner has got no criminal antecedent as stated at para 3 of the bail petition.

5. Learned counsel for the informant/complainant as well as learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail petition of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances as well as the nature of allegation against the petitioner, let the petitioner named-above, in the event of his arrest / surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of



learned Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Barh, in connection with **Complaint Case No. 215(C) of 2024**, subject to conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

7. If so advised, either of the parties will be at liberty to make an application before the Court below for referring the matter to the District Mediation Centre for the purpose of reconciliation or one time settlement.

8. This application stands allowed.

**(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)**

Shahnawaz/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

