

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.49912 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-93 Year-2022 Thana- SAHAJITPUR District- Saran

Binay Kumar @ Vinay kumar S/o Prabhu Nath Choudhary R/o Village- Tanrwa
Pojhiya, P.S.- Kopa, District- Saran at Chapra

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vipin Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Dr Mrityunjaya Kr. Gautam , APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 20-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. This is second anticipatory bail petition. Earlier, the petition, filed for grant of anticipatory bail on behalf of petitioner, stood rejected, vide order dated 07.04.2023 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 53008 of 2022 .

3 . Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has renewed the prayer for bail on the ground that during departmental proceeding, informant of the case denied the prosecution case and stated that no irregularities were found . Statement of informant in departmental proceeding will not have any bearing or impact in criminal case .

4. Learned counsel for the State opposed the bail of the petitioner and submits that earlier the bail of petitioner was rejected by detailed and reasoned order This present bail application does not reveal any change of circumstances or new



ground and he further place reliance upon the recent judgment of the Hon’ble Supreme Court in the case of *G.R. Ananda Babu Vs. State of Tamil Nadu and Anrs* reported in *2021 SCC OnLine SC 176*, whereby and whereunder, the second anticipatory bail on behalf of the petitioner is not maintainable .

5 . In view of the recent aforesaid judgment of the Hon’ble Supreme Court (Supra), the second anticipatory bail on behalf of the petitioner is not maintainable. It is, accordingly, dismissed.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

Koushik/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

