

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.45132 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-209 Year-2024 Thana- BARGAINIA District- Sitamarhi

1. Tabarakh Mansoori @ Tanarakh @ Tabarakh Mian S/O Ousan Mian Resident of Village- Sinduria, P.S.- Bairgania, Dist.- Sitamarhi
2. Bechani Khatoon @ Neesha Khatoon W/O Tabarakh Mansoori @ Tanarakh @ Tabarakh Mian Resident of Village- Sinduria, P.S.- Bairgania, Dist.- Sitamarhi

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

|                     |   |                               |
|---------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| For the Petitioners | : | Mr. Ashok Kumar Jha, Advocate |
| For the State       | : | Mr. Kumar Ranjit Ranjan, APP  |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH**  
**ORAL ORDER**

4      04-11-2025                      Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners and learned APP appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 103, 80, 123 and 3(5) of the B.N.S..

3. It is a case of dowry death. As per prosecution case, informant alleged that marriage of his niece was solemnized with co-accused Basruddin Mansoori as per Muslim rites and rituals on 16.04.2024. It is alleged that on 27.08.2024, informant received information that all the F.I.R. named accused persons, including these petitioners, committed murder of his niece and disposed of her dead body.



4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners that petitioners are quite innocent and have committed no offence. Petitioners have falsely been implicated in this case merely because Petitioner No. 1 happens to be father-in-law and Petitioner No. 2 happens to be mother-in-law of the deceased. Petitioners are separate in mess and property and have got no concern with the affairs of the deceased and her husband. Informant is not an eye witness of the alleged occurrence. Allegation of demand of dowry is general and omnibus and no specific accusation of overt act has been alleged against these petitioners. Thrust of accusation is against husband of deceased who is already in custody since 26.06.2025. Petitioners claim clean antecedents.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, general and omnibus nature of accusation and clean antecedents of the petitioners, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners is allowed.

7. Accordingly, in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, let the above named



petitioners be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned C.J.M., Sitamarhi in connection with Bairgania P.S. Case No. 209 of 2024, subject to condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S..

**(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)**

shashank/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

