

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7072 of 2012

=====

Gaya Nath Thakur, S/o Yadunandan Thakur, Resident of Village and Post-Taiyabpur, P.S.- Desari, District- Vaishali.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Administrator, Bihar State Road Transport Corporation, Birchand Patel Path, Pariwahan Bhawan, Patna, Bihar.
2. The Chief of Administration, Bihar State Road Transport Corporation, Birchand Patel Path, Pariwahan Bhawan, Patna, Bihar.
3. The Chief Mechanical Engineer, Birchand Patel Path, Pariwahan Bhawan, Patna, Bihar.
4. The Financial Advisor-cum-Chief Accountant Officer, Birchand Patel Path, Pariwahan Bhawan, Patna, Bihar.
5. The Divisional Manager, Patna Division, Bihar State Road Transport Corporation

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Satya Prakash Sinha, Advocate
For the BSRTC	:	Mr. Prabhat Kumar Verma, Sr. Advocate
		Mr. Suman Kr. Jha, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Ajay, GP-4

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL JUDGMENT
(Per: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date : 30-01-2025

It appears from the record that against the Award passed by the Labour Court, Patna dated 23.06.2011, the Bihar State Road Transport Corporation had filed a writ petition vide C.W.J.C. No. 4292 of 2013, which was dismissed. Thereafter, an L.P.A was preferred



vide L.P.A No. 1385 of 2018, which too was dismissed.

2. Since the writ preferred by Gaya Nath Thakur, viz., C.W.J.C. No. 7072 of 2012 was directed to be listed along with the L.P.A. preferred by the Bihar State Road Transport Corporation, this writ petition has been placed before the Division Bench even after the dismissal of the L.P.A., referred to above.

3. In any view of the matter, we find from the earlier orders passed by this Bench that the State was permitted to be impleaded as respondent No. 6 and was to inform this Court whether the Award passed by the Labour Court was published under Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

4. The affidavit has been filed which clearly states that it has been published in accordance with the provisions contained in Section 17 and the relevant provisions contained in Bihar Rules.

5. Thus, it appears that the grievance of the petitioner has been redressed.



6. This writ petition also stands disposed off in
terms of the Award.

(Ashutosh Kumar, ACJ)

(Partha Sarthy, J)

Harsh/Rajesh

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	31.01.2025
Transmission Date	

