

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.46803 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-109 Year-2025 Thana- RAGHUNATHPUR District- Siwan

1. Ali Hussain @ Bhuttu @ Ali Hussain Ansari S/o- Abdul Haque Village- Daulatpur Ps- Raghunathpur Dist- Siwan
2. Jahangir Ansari @ Pappu S/o- Saheb Hussain Village- Daulatpur Ps- Raghunathpur Dist- Siwan
3. Azharuddin Ansari @ Jahruddin Ansari S/o- SAheb Hussain Village- Daulatpur Ps- Raghunathpur Dist- Siwan
4. Shahabuddin Ansari @ Shahabuddin Ansari Son of Amir Hussain Village- Daulatpur Ps- Raghunathpur Dist- Siwan
5. Azad Hussain @ Azad Ansari S/o- Amir Hussain Village- Daulatpur Ps- Raghunathpur Dist- Siwan

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Irshad Ahmad Khan, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Uday Pratap Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 30-07-2025 Heard Mr. Irshad Ahmad Khan, learned counsel

appearing on behalf of the petitioners and Mr. Uday Pratap

Singh, learned APP appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in connection
with Raghunathpur P.S. Case No. 109 of 2025 registered under
Sections 191(2), 190, 115(2), 109, 117(2), 351(2), 74, 352,
303(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), 2023.

3. As per the allegation made in the FIR, the marriage
of the daughter of the informant was fixed and she had



purchased valuable items like jewelry, cloths etc. The petitioners, along with other accused persons, committed dacoity in the house of the informant and assaulted the informant and her family members.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submitted that the allegation made against the petitioners is false and no case is made out against them, in want of any specific allegation against them. There is case and counter case between the parties. The petitioners have clean antecedent. On these grounds, the petitioners seek to be released on pre-arrest bail.

5. Learned APP for the State vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of pre-arrest bail.

6. Considering the nature of allegation made in the FIR, the Superintendent of Police concerned is directed to give report to the learned District Court in respect of recovery of the looted items. In case any looted item has been recovered from the house of the petitioners, the petitioners don't deserve to be released on pre-arrest bail, otherwise, the petitioners are directed to be released on pre-arrest bail. The said report must be submitted by the Superintendent of Police to the learned District Court well within a period of two weeks from the date of



communication of this order.

7. Accordingly, the present bail petition stands disposed of.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

Ashishsingh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

