

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.45105 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-32 Year-2025 Thana- SINGHWARA District- Darbhanga

Ravi Raj @ Ravi Sah S/o Dukh Haran Prasad R/o Village- Tataila Ward no. 3,
P.S-Kamtaul, District-Darbhandha Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ajay Kr. Thakur, Adv.
Mrs. Vaishnavi Singh, Adv
For the State : Mr. Shyam Kumar Singh, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAWNEET KUMAR
PANDEY**

ORAL ORDER

4 10-12-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with
Singhwara P.S. Case No. 32 of 2025, registered for the offences
punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 87, 74, 303(2), 352,
351(2), 3(5) of the BNS and Section 67 of the I.T. Act.

3. The prosecution case, in brief, is that on 31.01.2025 at
about 06:PM, when the informant was returning home on her *scooty*,
the petitioner and co-accused Shashi Kumar, allegedly intercepted
her on the way. It is alleged that the accused persons pointed a knife
at her and forcibly took away her purse containing cash of Rs.
5,000/- and gold chain. It is further alleged that the accused persons
forcibly took her on the motorcycle. On alarm raised by the victim,
the local people started chasing them, upon which, the accused
persons fled away leaving the informant on the road. It has also been
alleged that on an earlier occasion as well, the same accused persons
had committed a similar offence against the informant, for which,
Mahila P.S. Case No. 12 of 2021 was registered, which was later on
compromised.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted



that prior to the present occurrence, the informant has lodged a case, in which, the petitioner faced trial and was acquitted by the learned Additional Sessions Judge-I, in Sessions Trial No. 488 of 2022, dated 13.12.2024. In that case, the victim/informant had turned hostile. It is further submitted that the statement of Kapileshwar Chaupal has not been recorded in the case diary.

5. On the other hand, learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer for bail.

6. Considering the above-mentioned facts and circumstances, let the petitioner, in the event of his arrest or surrender within four weeks before the learned court below, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned ACJM-IV, Darbhanga at Laheriasari in connection with Singhwara P.S. Case No. 32 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the CrPC/482(2) of the BNSS, 2023.

7. **The petitioner will appear in the court on each and every date fixed by the trial court till framing of charges, otherwise, his bail bond shall be cancelled.**

(Nawneet Kumar Pandey, J)

Nirmal/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

