

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.44714 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-366 Year-2022 Thana- COMPLAINT CASE - PATNA CITY
District- Patna

=====

Md Sajid S/o Md. Raja R/o Village-Chitkohra Basti, near Masjid, P.S-
Gardanibagh, District-Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Saiya Parveen D/o Late Md. Raja R/o Pakki Goraiya Mahavir Asthan
(Mogalpura), P.S.-Khajekala, District-Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vishwa Ranjan Choudhary, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Md. Matloob Rab, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

2 17-07-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and

learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with

Complaint Case No. 366 of 2022, instituted for the offences

punishable under Section 498(A) of the Indian Penal Code and

Section 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that, the

complainant was harassed by demanding Rs. 2,00,000/- as

dowry. Her husband was sent to Oman without informing her

and she was later sent to her parental home. Despite informing

her husband, he supported his family. It is further alleged that

when she returned to her *sasural*, co-accused persons denied her



entry without the dowry.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the present case. Learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that nothing has been done by the petitioner as alleged against him. It is further submitted that the complainant has neither been subjected to torture in any manner nor any unlawful demand has been made from her by the petitioner. It is next submitted that the relation between the parties have become so bitter that it is not possible for the petitioner to live together. The petitioner is in custody since 13.05.2025 and has got no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and taking into account the period of custody undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Let the petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Complaint Case No. 366 of 2022, subject to the following conditions:



(I) One of the bailors shall be own/close member of the family of the petitioner.

(II) The petitioner shall appear on each and every date fixed at the trial. In case of default in such appearance on two consecutive dates, the Trial Court will have liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(III) The petitioner will not leave the territorial jurisdiction of the Court below without its prior permission for the same.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Rajorshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

