

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.47575 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-123 Year-2025 Thana- TILAUTHU District- Rohtas

1. Rani Kumari W/o Umesh Kumar Yadav R/o Village- Bhadokhara, P.S.- Tilauthu, Dist- Rohtas
2. Sabitri Devi W/o Shyam Bihari Yadav R/o Village- Bhadokhara, P.S.- Tilauthu, Dist- Rohtas

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajani Kant Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Mithlesh Kumar Khare

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 30-07-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners seek bail in anticipation of their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 109, 74, 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of the B.N.S.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are persons with clean antecedent and are women and the informant alleges that on account of dispute relating to land and on orders of Shamyia Bihari Yadav accused Umesh assaulted her husband by rod causing injury on head. Further, when informant and her son came to save them, the accused



assaulted them causing injury on head.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is also submitted that on account of dispute relating to land, the present false case came to be instituted. It is next submitted that there is no specific allegation against the petitioners of assaulting. It is next submitted that informant is Bhabhi of Umesh and is wife of elder brother of Umesh namely, Rajesh. It is next submitted that there is a land dispute in the family and the father in-law of the informant instituted Tilauthu P. S. Case No.124 of 2025 alleging that his elder son Rajesh along with his two sons came and assaulted Umesh and the informant i.e. father in-law of the present informant causing injury and when his wife and daughter-in-law came to save them, they were also assaulted.

5. It is thus submitted that father of Umesh instituted Tilauthu P. S. Case No. 124 of 2025 alleging that his elder son along with his son assaulted them including his wife and daughter-in-law. It is next submitted that even Umesh suffered injury on head.

6. Learned A.P.P. opposes the anticipatory bail application.



7. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners, above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, are directed to be released on anticipatory bail on their furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Sri Praveen Kumar, the learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Dehri, Rohtas in connection with Tilauthu P. S. Case No.123 of 2025, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

8. The application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

