

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.46014 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-568 Year-2021 Thana- FATUA District- Patna

Santosh Kumar @ Santosh Singh S/o- Umesh Prasad Resident of Village-
Kolhar PS- Fatuha, Dist- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Chandra Shekhar Sharma, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Jitendra Kumar Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

3 15-09-2025 Heard Mr. Chandra Shekhar Sharma, learned counsel
for the petitioner and Mr. Jitendra Kumar Singh, learned APP
for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection
with Fatuha P.S. Case No. 568 of 2021 registered for the offence
punishable under Section 304(B) of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The case of the prosecution is that the informant's
daughter namely, Ranju Kumari was married to Santosh Kumar
(the petitioner). It is alleged that at the time of marriage, gifts
were given. A tractor and power tiller was also provided by the
informant. It is further alleged that the daughter of the informant
was subjected to cruelty on account of non-fulfillment of dowry
demand.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the



petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case. He also submits that from perusal of the case diary, specifically in paragraph nos. 37, 38 & 39, which will transpire that the father of the petitioner was electrocuted and was severely injured. The petitioner took Rs. 10,000/- from his house and went for treatment of his father and due to this, the deceased consumed poison and died. This kind of statement is given by other witnesses also. From perusal of the *FSL* report, it is clear that Thimet was found in the viscera of the deceased. He further submits that no external injury was found on the person of the deceased. He also submits that a statement has been made in para 3 of the petition that petitioner has no criminal antecedent and he is languishing in judicial custody since 22.01.2025.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties



of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned
A.C.J.M-II-cum- Sub Judge-II, Patna City, in connection with
Fatuha P.S. Case No. 568 of 2021.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

