

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6258 of 2015

=====

Lala Prasad Son of Late Ram Chandra Yadav, Resident of N.M.C.H. Road,
Agamkuan, District - Patna, Bihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Principal Secretary, Revenue and Land Reforms, Government of Bihar.
3. The Principal Secretary, Anima Husbandry and Fisheries, Government of Bihar.
4. The Deputy Director, Fisheries, Marketing and Statistics, Government of Bihar.
5. The Deputy Secretary, Department of Revenue and Land Reforms, Government of Bihar, Patna.
6. The District Magistrate, Patna.
7. The Circle Officer, Patna Sadar.
8. The Municipal Commissioner, Patna Municipal Corporation, Maurya Lok, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Raju Kashyap, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Awanish Nandan Sinha, GP-11
For the PMC	:	Mr. Sanjay Prakash Verma, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

3 07-07-2025 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
grant of following relief(s):

*(i) To issue an appropriate writ, order or
direction directing the Respondents not to
dispossess the Petitioner from a piece of land
bearing Khata No. 499, Plot No. 1753, Area
281.8 Sq. Ft. and Khata No. 499, Plot No. 1750,*



Area 488.3 Sq. Ft. of Mauza-Sandalpur, Thana-Agamkuan, District-Patna.

(ii) To issue further appropriate writ, order or direction commanding the Respondents not to create any hindrance in peaceful enjoyment of the above noted property which is settled in the name of the Petitioner in the year 1988 by the Deputy Director Fisheries, Marketing and Statistics vide Letter No. 17 dated 28.01.1998.

(iii) Hon'ble Court may adjudicate and hold that in view of the valid settlement made by the competent authorities 26 years back Respondents have no authority to disturb the Petitioner from the peaceful enjoyment of the property in question.

(iv) To award any other relief or reliefs for which the Petitioner is found entitled in the fact and circumstance of the case.

3. Mr. Raju Kashyap, on the instruction of Mr. Surendra Kumar Singh representing the petitioner submits that the case has become infructuous and as such, he may be permitted to withdraw the petition.



4. Accordingly, the writ petition stands dismissed as
withdrawn.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

vinayak/-

U			
---	--	--	--

