

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2106 of 2015

=====

Daro Yadav S/o Kishun Lal Yadav Resident of village- Moura Tola Bariyahi,
P.S.- Shankarpur, District-Madhepura.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar and Ors
2. The District Magistrate, Madhepura.
3. The Additional Collector, Madhepura.
4. The Deputy Collector Land Reforms , Madhepura Sadar
5. The Circle Officer, Shankar Block , Madhepura.
6. Sadanand Yadav
7. Bijendra Yadav Both Sons of Late Bhola Yadav
8. Shambhu Yadav
9. Pramod Yadav
10. Akhilesh Yadav All Sons of Lali Yadav All residents of village-Moura Tola Bariyahi, P.S. Shankarpur, District- Madhepura.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	None
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Dhurjati Kumar Prasad, GP-14

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

4 01-07-2025 No one appears on behalf of the petitioner though Mr.

Dhurjati Kumar Prasad, GP-14 is present.

2. The present writ petition has been preferred for the
following relief(s):

*(i) For quashing the Order dated
07.11 Respondent No. 3 in Mutation Revision
Case No. 15/2013 whereby he has held that
the order impugned before him has been
passed by Respondent No. 4, the D.C.L.R.
Madhepura Sadar in B.L.D.R. Case No.
364/2012 against which appeal shall lay
before the Divisional Commissioner and
accordingly has dropped the proceeding of*



Mutation Revision Case.

(ii) For further to hold that the order passed by Respondent No. 4 dated 01.03.2013 in B.L.D.R. Case No. 364/2012 and Mutation Appeal Case No. 17/2012 are wholly beyond jurisdiction and as such nullity in the eye of law.

(iii) For further direction on the respondent authorities to restrain them from proceeding further in pursuance of order dated 01.03.2013 passed by Respondent No. 4 in B.L.D.R. Case No. 364/2012 and Mutation Appeal Case No. 17/2012.

(iv) For any other relief reliefs for which the petitioner is found entitled in the facts and circumstances of the case.

3. Learned State Counsel has taken this Court to paragraph 12 to submit that the petitioner have the remedy before the Divisional Commissioner, Saharsa but he failed to challenge the same.

4. Be that as it may, since there is no appearance, the writ petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Vijay Singh/-

U			
---	--	--	--

