

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.54162 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-131 Year-2022 Thana- JANDAHA District- Vaishali

Ranjay Kumar @ Ranjay Yadav @ Ranjay Kumar Yadav Son of Kapildeo Roy Resident of Vill- Sitalpur, Baradih, P.S.- Chakia, District- East Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Prateek Tandon
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Raj Kishor Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. SONI SHRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

17 14-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. In the present case, the petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Jandaha P.S. Case No. 131 of 2022 registered on 06.05.2022 for the alleged offences under Section 364(A), 365 & 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The case of the prosecution is that on account of pressure on the informant's son for making payment, they took the son of the informant as hostage.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case which has been lodged after delay of five days and no satisfactory explanation has been tendered for the same. It is not



believable that the informant would not take any immediate action for such an occurrence. In fact, the son of the informant fled away and concealed himself as he had defalcated money. Further, the present F.I.R. has been lodged after lapse of one year of resignation of the son of the informant. There is no allegation that any money was demanded from the informant. As a matter of fact, the son of the informant has never been abducted and similarly situated co-accused has already been granted anticipatory bail by a coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 26.06.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 38649 of 2024. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner is having antecedent of three cases but he is on bail in all such cases and none of the case is of similar nature.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the submission made on behalf of the learned counsel for the petitioner. Learned A.P.P. submits that there is specific allegation against the petitioner that he and other co-accused persons abducted the son of the informant for ransom.

6. Having regard to the facts and circumstances and submission made on behalf of the parties and considering the improbability of accusation, let the petitioner above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court concerned



within a period of four weeks from today, be released on bail, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court of learned C.J.M., Vaishali, Hajipur in connection with Jandaha P.S. Case No. 131 of 2022, subject to the condition laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure and other following conditions:

- (i) One of the bailors will be a close relative of the petitioner.
- (ii) The petitioner will remain present on each and every date fixed by the court below, if so required by the learned trial court.

(Soni Shrivastava, J)

devendra/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

