

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.45553 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-73 Year-2025 Thana- KAUWAKOL District- Nawada

=====

Pankaj Kumar S/O Dwarika Prasad @ Dwarika Prasad Yadav R/O Village-
Chongwa, P.S- Kauakol, Distt.- Nawada.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Rani Kumari D/O Ganori Mistri R/O - Village - Chongwa P.S.
-Kawakol,Dist. - Nawada

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajiv Ranjan, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Surendra Kumar, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 15-11-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner; learned
counsel for the informant and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Section 81/74 of the
B.N.S., 2023 and Section 4(2) of the POCSO Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant
alleges that she used to go to the petitioner for tuition; further,
petitioner used to act inappropriately with her and, on the false
promise of marriage, established physical relationship and
thereafter started blackmailing her. Accordingly, she informed
her mother, and thereafter her mother confronted the petitioner



at his house. It is next alleged that the petitioner came to her house on 27.02.2025 and forcefully held her hand and started taking her away, and when people gathered, petitioner abused her.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that the informant alleges that petitioner on the false promise of marriage, established physical relationship. It is next submitted that the relationship was consensual, and when the same soured, the present false case came to be instituted.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State, and the learned counsel on behalf of the informant vehemently opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant submits that petitioner is a teacher where the parents of the victim having trust in him used to send the victim for gaining knowledge but then the trust reposed in the petitioner by the parents of the victim was broken and the petitioner established physical relations enticing a minor aged about 15 years on the pretext of marriage. It is next submitted that from perusal of the order impugned, it would manifests that



the statement of the victim who is a minor aged about 15 years was recorded under Section 183 of the B.N.S.S., wherein she has stated that the petitioner even established physical relations in the office of the school/coaching center.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned APP for the State, the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the instant anticipatory bail application stands rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

