

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.49246 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-89 Year-2025 Thana- TARAIYA District- Saran

Sandeep Kumar @ Sandip Kumar @ Nanhe S/O Tarkeshwar Singh R/O
Village- Bagha, P.S- Basantpur, District- Siwan.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Hemant Kumar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Rajesh Kumar, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 18-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P for the State.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Taraiya P.S. Case No. 89 of 2025 dated 04.04.2025 registered for the offences punishable u/ss 317(2), 317(5), 318(4), 338, 336(3), 310(4) and 310(5) of the B.N.S. and Sections 25(1-B)(a), 26 and 35 of the Arms Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, on secret information, 5-6 miscreants were making preparation to commit crime then the informant along with the police personnel reached the place of occurrence. On seeing police, some miscreants started fleeing away but four miscreants were apprehended and they disclosed their name as Akash Kumar, Vikash Kumar, Ujwal Kumar,



Sandeep Kumar @ Nanhe (petitioner) and they also disclosed the name of their associates as Ashok Singh and Deepak Kumar. On search, several incriminating articles and mobile phones were recovered from the apprehended accused persons. It is further alleged that one loaded country made pistol with live cartridges and one mobile phone were recovered from the possession of the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. The petitioner has been made accused in this case merely on suspicion. Nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. The petitioner has no concern with the alleged recovery. The petitioner has three criminal antecedents as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The petitioner is in custody since 05.04.2025.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the bail petition of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the period of custody, the petitioner above-named, is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court



concerned, Saran at Chapra in connection with Taraiya P.S. Case
No. 89 of 2025 with the condition :-

*(i) The petitioner is directed to remain physically present
before the learned Court below on each and every date, failing which
on two consecutive dates without reasonable cause, the bail bond of
the petitioner is liable to be cancelled.*

7. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

Gautam/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

