

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.46239 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-325 Year-2025 Thana- Excise P.S. District- Siwan

Shree Bhagwan Yadav S/o Luti Yadav R/o Village- Gamhirpur, P.S.- Nautan,
District- Siwan

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pankaj Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Bhanu Pratap Singh, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 21-07-2025 Heard Mr. Pankaj Kumar Singh, learned counsel for
the petitioner and Mr. Bhanu Pratap Singh, learned Additional
Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Siwan Excise P.S. Case No. 325 of 2025, F.I.R. dated 14.05.2025 for the offences punishable under Sections 30(a) and 32(3) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise (Amendment) Act, 2022.

3. Recovery is of 900 litres of illicit country made liquor from the Scorpio vehicle.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case on the basis of secret information. It appears from the FIR as well as the seizure list that nothing has been recovered from conscious possession



of the petitioner rather the recovery has been made from Scorpio vehicle in question and the petitioner has no concern at all with the alleged recovery of illicit liquor or the vehicle in question and except the secret information, no other cogent material has come during investigation against the petitioner to suggest the involvement of the petitioner in the present occurrence. There is non-compliance with mandatory procedure prescribed for recovery under Section 100 of Cr.P.C./Section 103 of BNSS, 2023. No case, whatsoever, would be made out against the petitioner under the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner referring the provision contained in Section 76(2) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act and submitted that the pre-arrest bail would not be maintainable. He further submits that the petitioner carries one more case other than the present one but fairly submits that he is on bail in the pending matter.

6. This Court is aware of the decision of the Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav Vs. State of Bihar** reported in **2019 (2) PLJR 1089**. Having regard to the law laid down in the aforesaid judgment and the submission advanced on behalf of the parties, this Court for the limited purpose of grant



of anticipatory bail, is inclined to accept the submission of Counsel for the petitioner.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts, nothing has been recovered from conscious possession of the petitioner and name of the petitioner has been transpired during investigation on the basis of the secret information, let the petitioner, above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000 (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Exclusive Special Excise, Court No. II, Siwan in connection with Siwan Excise P.S. Case No. 325 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure/ Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 and with other following conditions:-

(I) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(II) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move



for cancellation of bail.

(III) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his/her criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Neha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

