

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.44059 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-74 Year-2025 Thana- MAGADH UNIVERSITY District- Gaya

Vijay Ravidas S/o Raghu Nandan Ravidas Vill.- Jhikatiya, Tola- Mehwan
Chak, PS- Magadh University, Distt.- Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ashok Kumar, Adv.

For the informant : Mr. Sunil Kumar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ram Bilash Roy Raman, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

3 03-09-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
counsel for the informant and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Magadh University P.S. Case No. 74 of 2025 dated 21.03.2025 registered for the offences punishable u/ss 64, 126(2), 115(2) and 351(2) of the B.N.S.

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant alleged that the petitioner had called her to his house on the pretext of giving her a colony. Thereafter, the petitioner committed rape on her forcefully. It is further alleged that when the informant threatened to report the matter to police then the petitioner threatened to kill her children and falsely implicate her husband



in a case under the Scheduled Caste and Scheduled Tribe Act. The informant further alleged that on 10.03.2025, when the informant was working in the field, the petitioner again committed rape on her. Subsequently, the informant informed her husband and both went to the house of the petitioner then the petitioner and the other co-accused persons assaulted them. Thereafter, the informant went to the police station with the help of the villagers to report the said incident.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. There is a delay of 11 days in lodging the F.I.R. without any explanation. Nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. The petitioner has no concern with the alleged offence. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The petitioner is in custody since 25.03.2025.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant have opposed the bail petition of the petitioner and submitted that the specific allegation of committing rape on the informant is against the petitioner. From perusal of para 77 of the case diary, as per the medical report of the victim, though there is no recent signs of sexual intercourse, rape cannot be



denied. It is further submitted that the witnesses in paragraph nos 10, 15, 16 and 17 of the case diary have fully supported the prosecution case.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the specific and heinous nature of allegation against the petitioner, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner above-named on bail.

7. Learned trial court is directed to expedite the trial and conclude the same at the earliest.

8. The application stands rejected.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

Gautam/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

