

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1880 of 2023
In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.13124 of 2022

Rajendra Choudhary Son of late Baban Choudhary Resident of Village-Dhapura Ward No. 34, P.S.- Town, District- Bhojpur at Ara.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Sri. Amir Subhani Son of not known to the petitioner Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. Sri. Bhriresh Mehrotra, Son of not known to the petitioner Additional Chief Secretary, Revenue and Land Reforms Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. Sri Kumar Ravi, Son of not known to the petitioner, Commissioner, Patna Division, Patna.
4. Sri. Raj Kumar, Son of not known to the petitioner District Magistrate, Bhojpur at Ara.
5. Sri. Raj Kumar Singh, Son of not known to the petitioner, Circle Officer, Ara Sadar, District- Bhojpur.
6. Sri. Ashok Kumar Pandey, Son of not known to the petitioner Executive Engineer, Bhawan Pramandal, District- Bhojpur.
7. Sri. Madhav Kumar Singh, District Transport Officer, Bhojpur at Ara.
8. Sri. Pawan Singh, Son of not known to the petitioner Contractor, Bhojpur at Ara.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Ms. Himja Gautam Singh, Advocate
	:	Ms. Ritika Rani, Advocate
For the State	:	Mrs. Nutan Sahay, AC to AAG-12

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

3 06-05-2025 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been filed for the grant of following relief:

“for initiation of contempt proceeding/punishing the opposite parties for knowingly and deliberately violating the order dated 22.11.2022 passed by the Hon’ble Court in CWJC No. 13124 of 2022.”



3. A show cause of the opposite party no. 4 and 5 duly signed by the Circle Officer, Ara Sadar annexing the letter no. 2000 dated 07.12.2022 to show that pursuant to the order passed in CWJC No. 13124 of 2022 (Rajendra Choudhary vs. State of Bihar), neither the status quo has been maintained nor the security persons have been posted there to ensure that anti-social element do not take any further steps.

4. This Court will go by the show cause filed on behalf of the State opposite parties. It is the apprehension of the learned counsel for petitioner that a false affidavit has been made by the officer.

5. Needless to say if such fact comes to the domain of the Court and is brought on record by way of photograph/video/document, the officers will have to face the music.

6. So far as the present part is concerned, being satisfied that the interim order of the High Court has been complied, the contempt petition stands disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

vinayak/-

U			
---	--	--	--

