

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.46675 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-172 Year-2024 Thana- KISHANPUR District- Supaul

1. Ranjeet Chauhan, Son of Late Parmeshwari Chauhan, Resident of Village- Sisauni, Ward no. 14, PS- Kisanpur, Dist- Supaul
2. Sanjeet Chauhan, Son of Late Parmeshwari Chauhan, Resident of Village- Sisauni, Ward no. 14, PS- Kisanpur, Dist- Supaul
3. Kiran Devi, Wife of Ranjeet Chauhan, Resident of Village- Sisauni, Ward no. 14, PS- Kisanpur, Dist- Supaul

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mrs. Patla Kumari, Advocate  
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Binod Kumar, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      01-08-2025                      Heard Mrs. Patla Kumari, learned counsel for the  
petitioners and Mr. Binod Kumar, learned APP for the State.

2.The instant application for anticipatory bail has been  
filed by the petitioners apprehending their arrest in connection  
with Kishanpur P.S. Case No. 172 of 2024 instituted for the  
offence under Sections 341, 323, 307, 504, 379 and 34 of the  
Indian Penal Code.

3. The case of the prosecution is that on the date of  
occurrence the informant was returning from Sisouni Chowk.  
As he reached near house of Ranjeet Chauhan, the petitioners  
along with others being armed with iron rod, *lathi*, *dabia*



surrounded him. Ranjeet Chauhan ordered and on his order, the accused persons started assaulting the informant. It is alleged that the wrist of the informant was fractured.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners has submitted that petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence. They have falsely been implicated in this case. It has further been submitted that there is also a counter version of this case. It has also been submitted that from perusal of the injury report, it will transpire that the nature of injury is simple. One injury which is grievous is that on wrist which will only attract Section 325 which is bailable in nature. It has also been submitted that the nature of allegation is general and omnibus. Petitioners are having no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned APP appearing for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer of bail of the petitioners.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and in the facts and circumstances of the case as stated above, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioners on bail. The petitioners are directed to surrender in the Court below within a period of four weeks from today and in the event of their arrest or surrender in connection with Kishanpur P.S. Case No. 172 of 2024, they will be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of



Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned C.J.M., Supaul, subject to the conditions as laid down under section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

lata/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

