

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.50746 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-39 Year-2025 Thana- Sinha District- Bhojpur

=====

Naveen Kumar Singh @ Navin Kumar @ Navin Singh Son of Deo Nath  
Sinha Resident of Village- Sinha, P.S.- Sinha, District- Bhojpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ajay Kumar Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ram Naresh Ray

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR PANDEY**  
**ORAL ORDER**

3      12-11-2025                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Sinha P.S. Case No. 39 of 2025, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 127(1) 115(2), 109, 303(2), 308(2), 352, 351(2), 3(5) of the B.N.S. and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. As per prosecution case, the allegation against the petitioner who is said to have assaulted the informant and his son on head.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is employee of Electricity Department and he has to verify the data of electricity bill and during course of verifying the present occurrence arose. The present case is



nothing but the F.I.R. No. 40/2025 was lodged by the petitioner on the same date of occurrence. Petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case and there is case and counter case on the same date of occurrence and the counter version has not been mentioned in the present F.I.R. which questions the authenticity of the F.I.R. Though, there is specific allegation against the petitioner of inflicting injury upon hand and head of the informant and his son but there is no injury on the hand of the informant and the injury sustained by informant's son is simple in nature. In this way, the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case. Petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

5. The learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant have opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail and submitted that the petitioner is named in the F.I.R. and there is direct allegation of assault against the petitioner. Hence, the petitioner cannot escape from the allegation made in the F.I.R.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, keeping in view the clean antecedent of the petitioner, the injury of informant's son is found to be simple in nature, and also taking into consideration the material available on record, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six



weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (rupees ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate- 1<sup>st</sup> Class, Bhojpur at Ara, in connection with Sinha P.S. Case No. 39 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

7. The application stands allowed.

**(Alok Kumar Pandey, J)**

Ranjeet/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

