

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 44910 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-22 Year-2025 Thana- DHANSOI District- Buxar

Krishnanand Singh S/o Ramji Singh Vill.- Semariya, Post- Manikpur, PS-
Dhansoin, Distt.- Buxar (Bihar)

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Lala Singh S/o Harihar Singh Vill.- Semariya, Post- Manikpur, PS-
Dhansoin, Distt.- Buxar (Bihar)

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Digvijay Kumar Ojha, Adv.
For the Informant	:	Mr. Amit Kumar Pandey, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Akshay Lal Pandit, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 16-07-2025 Heard Mr. Digvijay Kumar Ojha, learned counsel for
the petitioner; Mr. Amit Kumar Pandey, learned counsel for the
informant and Mr. Akshay Lal Pandit, learned APP for the State.

2.The instant application for anticipatory bail has been
filed by the petitioner apprehending his arrest in connection
with Dhansoin P.S. Case No. 22 of 2025 instituted for the
offence under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 117(2), 109,
303(2), 352, 351(2), 3(5) of the B.N.S. and Section 27 of the
Arms Act.

3. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner has
assaulted the father of the informant with a *bhala*; one *bhala*
blow was given on the leg and one in the hand. It is further



alleged that one Chandan Singh has made a fire, but no injury was caused. Again, this petitioner was assaulted with an *iron rod*, which he took from his father.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has falsely been implicated in this case. He also submits that from perusal of the injury report, it will transpire that though the injuries are said to be grievous in nature, both injuries are on non-vital parts of the body, and even the fracture will come in the category of bailable offense. It has also been submitted that from the allegations and the injuries, it is clear that the petitioner did not have any intention to commit murder.

5. In contra, learned APP appearing for the State and learned counsel for the informant have vehemently opposed the prayer of bail of the petitioner and submits that the victim is an old age person. His hand and feet are fractured. He also submits that the petitioner has criminal antecedent of one case.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and in the facts and circumstances of the case as stated above, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The petitioner is directed to surrender in the Court below within a period of



four weeks from today and in the event of his arrest or surrender in connection with Dhansoin P.S. Case No. 22 of 2025, he will be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Buxar subject to the conditions as laid down under section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

