

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.43715 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-108 Year-2025 Thana- Kadirganj P.S. District- Nawada

Sonu Kumar S/o Arjun Prasad Resident Of Village- Gurma, Ps- Muffasil,
District- Nawada

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sheo Kumar Prasad, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Nirmala Kumari, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

2 23-07-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP
for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Kadirganj P.S. Case No. 108 of 2025 instituted for the offence under Sections 303(2), 318(2), 318(4), 338, 319(2), 336(2), 336(3), 304(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Sections 66(B), 66(c) & 66(D) of the IT Act.

3. The prosecution alleges that on 03.04.2025, based on secret information, police raided an open field near *Pachamba* More and apprehended petitioner allegedly involved in cheating people by promising jobs through fake services like All India Job Solution and “play boy” jobs. Several mobile phones were recovered during the arrest, containing



incriminating WhatsApp messages.

4. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 04-04-2025. Petitioner bears no criminal antecedent, as per disclosure made in paragraph No. 3 of the bail application.

5. It has been further submitted by the petitioner's counsel that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is submitted that no prior complaint is lodged against the petitioner by anyone for cheating. It is submitted that mobile phones and SIM Cards do not belong to the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner was passerby and the informant arrested him on extraneous consideration. There is no compliance of Section 103 of the BNSS, 2023.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and period of custody of the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

8. Let the petitioner be released on bail, *after framing of charge, if not already framed*, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court



below/concerned Court in connection with Kadirganj P.S.
Case No. 108 of 2025, subject to the following conditions:

- (I) One of the bailors shall be own/close member of the family of the petitioner.
- (II) The petitioner shall appear on each and every date fixed at the trial. In case of default in such appearance on two consecutive dates, the Trial Court will have liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Raj Kishore/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

