

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.47809 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-44 Year-2025 Thana- NUAOW District- Kaimur (Bhabua)

1. Kadir Khan @ Quadir Khan son of Late Istiyak Khan Resident of village -Akhini, P.S.- Nuaon, District- Kaimur at Bhabua
2. Vikash Ram @ Vikash Kumar son of Chaturgan Ram Resident of village -Akhini, P.S.- Nuaon, District- Kaimur at Bhabua

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajani Kant Pandey

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Kumar Ranjit Ranjan

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 30-07-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioners, after some arguments, seeks permission to withdraw the anticipatory bail application with respect to petitioner no. 1 (Kadir Khan @ Quadir Khan).

3. Permission is accorded.

4. Accordingly, the anticipatory bail application is dismissed as withdrawn with respect to petitioner no. 1 only.

5. The petitioner no. 2 apprehends his arrest in connection with Nuaon P.S. Case No. 44 of 2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 109, 132,



223, 121(1), 121(2), 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of BNS, 2023.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner no. 2 Vikash Ram @ Vikash Kumar is a person with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that Vikash with liquor was apprehended along with the motorcycle, when accused persons including the petitioner came and assaulted the force causing injury to a constable and even tried to drown the driver of the police vehicle and took away Vikash forcefully.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that petitioner is a resident of a close-by place near to the place of occurrence and when a commotion took place, the petitioner out of inquisitiveness came to the place of occurrence when he came to be implicated when petitioner admittedly is a person with clean antecedent.

8. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

9. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner no. 2 above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/-



(Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Nuaon P.S. Case No. 44 of 2025 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of BNSS.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

