

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.45830 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-98 Year-2025 Thana- AAJAM NAGAR District- Katihar

1. Umera Khatoon Wife of Late Taimur Rahman Resident of Village- Kolhan, Ward No. 9, P.S.- Azamnagar, District- Katihar
2. Md. Sagir @ Sagir Alam Son of Abdul Hanan Resident of Village- Kharo Gram, P.S.- Harishchandrapur, District- Malda (West Bengal)

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Fatema Khatoon Wife of Abdul Rahman, Daughter of Md. Ayub Ali Resident of Village- Bharatkol, P.S.- Roshna, District- Katihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioners	:	Mr. Bimal Kumar, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Umeshanand Pandit, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 29-07-2025 Heard Mr. Bimal Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners and Mr. Umeshanand Pandit, learned A.P.P. appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 126(2), 92, 115(2), 110, 76, 85, 351(2) and 3(5) of the B.N.S. and Sections 3 and 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

3. The prosecution case, in brief, is that marriage of the informant was solemnized with co-accused Abdul Rahman on 27.08.2023 as per Muslim rites and rituals. It is alleged that after marriage, all the accused persons named in the F.I.R.,



including these petitioners, subjected the informant to cruelty and harassment due to non-fulfillment of demand of dowry and later, ousted her from her matrimonial house.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners that petitioners are quite innocent and have committed no offence. Petitioner No. 1 is mother-in-law and Petitioner No. 2 is maternal father-in-law of the informant. Petitioners are victim of over implication. Petitioners are separate in mess and property and have got no concern with the affairs of the informant and her husband. Thrust of accusation is against husband of informant. Petitioners claim clean antecedents.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6. Considering the general and omnibus nature of accusation and clean antecedents of the petitioners, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners is allowed.

7. Accordingly, in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, let the above named petitioners be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like



amount each to the satisfaction of learned Sub-Divisional
Judicial Magistrate, Katihar in connection with Azamnagar P.S.
Case No. 98 of 2025, subject to condition as laid down under
Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S..

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

