

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.50430 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-3642 Year-2022 Thana- VAISALI COMPLAINT CASE
District- Vaishali

=====

Ranveer Kumar @ Ranveer Prasad @ Sonu S/O Sri Ramphal Thakur R/O
Village- Mahathi Dharamchand, P.S- Tisiauta, Distt.- Vaishali.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. Sunita Kumari @ Sunita Devi D/O Shiv Chandra Prasad R/O Jarang
Rampur, P.S- Vaishali, Distt.- Vaishali.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shashi Bhushan Kumar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Parmanand Prasad, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. B. PD. SINGH

ORAL ORDER

5 24-03-2025 In pursuance to the earlier order dated 13.02.2025,

both the parties appeared with their respective counsel in

Chambers proceeding.

2. Heard the parties.

3. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in

connection with Complaint Case No. 3642 of 2022 for the

offence under Section 498A of the Indian Penal Code and

section 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

4. It is a case of matrimonial dispute between the

parties. Petitioner is the husband of opposite party no. 2

(complainant). Allegation against the petitioner and his family

members is of torturing, assaulting and ousting the complainant



from her matrimonial house due to non-fulfillment of dowry demand.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is quite innocent, committed no offence and has been falsely implicated in this case. All the allegations leveled against him are false and concocted. He further submits that petitioner is ready to keep his wife (complainant) with all respect and dignity and for the same he has filed a case of restitution of conjugal right vide case no. 503 of 2022 before the Principal Judge, Family Court, Vaishali but the complainant herself does not want to live with him and has filed a maintenance case no. 301 of 2022 in the court of learned Principal Judge, Family court, Vaishali.

6. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposes the prayer of anticipatory bail.

7. During course of argument learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is ready to take his wife and keep her with full respect and dignity but his wife (opposite party no. 2) is not ready to go with his husband. Opposite party no. 2 and her brother both are only demanding maintenance.

8. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, let the petitioner be enlarged on anticipatory bail in the event of arrest



or surrender within a period of four weeks from the receipt/production of this order, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) each with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned S.D.J.M., Hajipur, Vaishali in connection with Complaint Case No. 3642 of 2022 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

(S. B. Pd. Singh, J)

prabhakar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

