

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.47546 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-393 Year-2024 Thana- UCHKAGAON District- Gopalganj

Ramkuwar Sharma S/o Late Chandrika Sharma Vill.- Ujara Narayanpur, PS-
Uchkagaon, Distt.- Gopalganj, State- Bihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Priya Raj , Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Uday Chand Prasad, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Sumit Shekhar Pandey, Advocate
		Ms. Masoon Raza, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 04-08-2025 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioner and learned APP appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118, 109, 352, 351(2), 303(2) and 3(5) of the B.N.S..

3. As per prosecution story, the informant alleged that while he was going to the cattle shed along with his son, all the F.I.R. named accused persons, including this petitioner, surrounded and co-accused Uday Prakash Sharma attacked on the head of the informant's son with '*Bhujali*' causing injury on the head. It is further alleged that when informant went to rescue, this petitioner hit him with the iron rod causing further injury. Allegation against co-accused Lilawati Devi is of taking



away gold chain from the neck of the informant's son.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner that petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence. As a matter of fact, both parties are agnates and there is admitted land dispute between them due to which a free fight took place in which both sides sustained injuries. There is case and counter-case between the parties.

5. On the other hand, learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant have vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner and submitted that petitioner is named in the F.I.R. with specific accusation of causing injury. Doctor has found the injuries grievous in nature. Petitioner has got three criminal antecedents

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, specific and direct nature of accusation, nature of injuries sustained by the injured and criminal antecedents of the petitioner, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner is rejected.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

