

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.46325 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-10 Year-2024 Thana- HATHUA District- Gopalganj

Ranjit Kumar Thakur @ Ranjit Thakur Son of Arjun Thakur village- Bari Deoria, Ps- Hathua, Dist- Gopalganj

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Akshay Ashish, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Aditya Narayan Singh.1, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

5 31-10-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
APP for the State and perused the case diary.

2. Earlier, anticipatory bail of the petitioner has been rejected by this Court vide order dated 04.04.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 89057 of 2024.

3. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Hathua P.S. Case No. 10 of 2024, instituted for the offences punishable under Sections 304(B) and 201 of the Indian Penal Code.

4. The prosecution case, in short, is that the petitioner along with other family member has tortured and killed the deceased for the non-fulfillment of demand of dowry.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the



present case. Charge-sheet has been submitted in this case. Learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that the petitioner is husband of the deceased. There was no any demand of dowry made by the petitioner. The allegation levelled against the petitioner is not specific rather the same is general and omnibus in nature. It is next submitted that there is no eye witness of the occurrence and except suspicion there is no material on record showing complicity of the petitioner in the present case. The petitioner is in custody since 08.04.2025 and has got no criminal antecedent. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that other co-accused has been granted regular bail by this Court vide order dated 22.10.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 39397 of 2024.

6. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner and submits that the petitioner is named in the FIR with specific allegation that he along with other family members tortured the deceased for non-fulfillment of dowry and ultimately committed her murder. Hence, the petitioner does not deserve the privilege of bail.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, nature of accusation and the gravity of the offence, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.



8. The prayer is rejected. The trial Court is directed to expedite the Trial. However, if the trial is not concluded within a period of six months from the date of receipt/production, the petitioner will have liberty to renew his prayer for bail in the Court below.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Rajorshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

