

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.54000 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-214 Year-2024 Thana- PATLIPUTRA District- Patna

Deonandan Singh @ Devnandan Singh S/o Late Chandrabhan Singh Resident of Village- Daulatpur, P.S.- Sitamadhi, District- Nawada, at present resident of Flat No. 304, Sarswati Sri Enclave, Nehru Nagar, P.S.- Patliputra, District- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Mukesh Kant, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Rita Verma, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH MISHRA

ORAL ORDER

3 15-09-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Patliputra P.S. Case No. 214 of 2024 instituted for the offences under Section 376 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 4/6 of the POCSO Act.

3. This is the second attempt of the petitioner for bail. The petitioner has renewed his prayer for grant of regular bail which was earlier rejected on merit by this Court vide order dated 19-11-2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 65703 of 2024.



4. In compliance of the order dated 01-08-2025, a report dated 08-08-2025, with regard to the present stage of trial has been received. From perusal of the aforesaid report, it appears that case is at the stage of framing of charge. It is further reported that around six months is required for concluding the trial of this case.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 17-06-2024 without any rhymes or reason. It is submitted that there is no likelihood of the trial being concluded in the near future, hence, petitioner deserves the privilege of bail.

6. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for grant of bail.

7. There is no new ground to consider the bail petition of the petitioner. From the aforesaid report, it appears that the trial is going to be started very shortly.

8. In view of the above, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is again *rejected* with a direction to the court below to expedite the trial and conclude the same expeditiously preferably within a period of six months from today. If the trial is not concluded within the period of six months, as stated above, the petitioner will be at liberty to renew his prayer before



the court below.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Raj Kishore/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

