

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.47445 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-103 Year-2025 Thana- AMARPUR District- Banka

Sahendra Yadav S/o Banarsi Yadav R/o Village- Tardih, PS- Bypass  
Jagdishpur, District- Bhagalpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Brij Nandan Prasad, Adv.  
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Sucheta Yadav, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH  
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2      08-08-2025                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The Petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Amarpur P.S. Case No. 103 of 2025 dated 15.02.2025 registered for the offences punishable u/ss 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, total 163.125 litres of illicit foreign liquor was recovered from the Auto.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The petitioner is the owner of the seized vehicle but the said vehicle was sold to one Chhottu Kumar. The



petitioner has no concern with the alleged recovery. Nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner, hence no case is made out. The co-accused person has already been granted regular bail by this court vide order dated 29.04.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 25268/2025. Learned Counsel has relied on the judgment of Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar reported in 2019 (2) PLJR 1089**. The Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)** has held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76 (2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner by submitting that the bar of Section 76(2) of the Act applies in this case.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the nature of allegation against the petitioner, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs.



20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned, Banka in connection with Amarpur P.S. Case No. 103 of 2025, subject to conditions as laid down under section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

7. The application stands allowed.

**(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)**

Gautam/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

