

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.47260 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-14 Year-2025 Thana- BANJARIA District- East Champaran

Vijay Sahani S/O Jai Mangal Sahani @ Jaymangal Sahani R/O Village-
Jhakhiya, P.S- Banjariya, Distt.- East Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Priyesh Kumar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Parmanand Prasad, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 08-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Banjariya P.S. Case No. 14/2025 dated 06.01.2025 for the offences punishable u/s 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act and Sections 274, 275 and 317(5) of the B.N.S.

3. As per the prosecution case, total 200 litres of illicit country-made liquor was recovered from the Baleno car.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. The petitioner has two criminal antecedents as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The petitioner is neither the owner



nor the driver of the said vehicle. The apprehended person disclosed the name of the petitioner. No incriminating article has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner, hence no case is made out. The other co-accused person has already been granted anticipatory bail by this court *vide* order dated 01.08.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 45083/2025. Learned Counsel has relied on the judgment of Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar reported in 2019 (2) PLJR 1089**. The Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)** has held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76 (2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner by submitting that the bar of Section 76(2) of the Act applies in this case.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the nature of allegation against the petitioner, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be



enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned, East Champaran at Motihari in connection with Banjariya P.S. Case No. 14/2025, subject to conditions as laid down under section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S, with further condition:-

(i). The petitioner is directed to remain physically present before the learned Court below on each and every date, failing which on two consecutive dates without reasonable cause, the bail bonds of the petitioner is liable to be cancelled.

7. This application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

Gautam/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

