

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12585 of 2016

=====

Rambalak Yadav son of Late Ramkishun Yadav resident of Village- Mayapur,
P.O.- Budhgere P.S. -Muffasil, District Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. Deputy Commissioner, Magadh Commissionery, Gaya
3. The District Magistrate, Gaya
4. Sub-Divisional Officer, Gaya Sadar, Gaya
5. Deputy Collector, Land Reforms, Gaya Sadar, Gaya
6. Deputy Assistant to Inspector General of Police, Magagh Range Gaya
7. Senior Superintendent of Police , Gaya
8. Deputy Superintendent Police, Gaya
9. Circle Officer, Manpur,Gaya
10. Officer In- Charge, Muffasil Thana, Gaya

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Dharendra Kumar Sinha, Advocate
	:	Mr. Kaushal Kishor, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Sajid Salim Khan-SC-25
For Intervenor Resp.	:	Mrs. Sripriya Sinha, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 22-07-2025 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
grant of following relief(s):

*“for direction to respondent
authorities to measure land in question bearing
Khata No. 245, Plot No. 293 situated at Mauja
Mayapur under P.S.-Muffassil and after
measurement demarcate the land in question and
thereafter handed over the possession of the
same in favour of petitioner. Although said land*



in question is Raiyati land of petitioner but private respondent create hindrance over the land in question and stop to use of the said land by the petitioner. Further give other consequences benefits in subject matter of instant case.”

3. At the outset, learned State counsel submits that the grievance is against some private respondents who have not even been impleaded as party respondents and as such, the matter be dismissed for non-joinder of necessary parties.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he shall be preferring an appropriate petition appropriate authority alongwith requisite fee for the measurement/demarcation of the land.

5. Granting said liberty and without commenting on the merit of the case, the writ petition stands disposed of.

6. All the Interlocutory Applications that have been preferred stands disposed of in the aforesaid circumstance.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

vinayak/-

U			
---	--	--	--

