

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.54642 of 2024

Arising out of PS. Case No.-218 Year-2024 Thana- RAMKRISHNANAGAR District- Patna

Mitranjan Kumar Son of Dineshwar Prasad @ Dineshwar Yadav Resident of
Vill- Chamanchak, P.S.- Ramkrishna Nagar, District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Patna
2. Santosh Kumar Yadav, Son of Rajeshwar Rai, R/o- Village- Parmanandpur,
P.S.- Sonpur, Distt.- Saran, at Present Resident At Kanhainagar, Fors Hospi-
tal Opposite Of Khemnichak, P.S.- Ramkrishnagar, Distt.- Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shivendra Prasad, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s: Ms. Rita Verma, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SOURENDRA PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

10 25-06-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the state.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in Ramkrishna Nagar P.S.
Case No. 218 of 2024 instituted for the offences under Sections
363, 365, 341 and 354(B) of the Indian Penal Code and Sections
8 and 10 of the POCSO Act pending in the Court of Additional
Sessions Judge-VI-cum-Special Judge POCSO, Patna.

3. As per the prosecution case the informant's minor
daughter aged about six years along with her cousin were re-
turning after taking meal and on the way Mitranjan Kumar (Pe-
titioner) took away her nearby field and had disrobed the minor
victim girl and was trying to commit wrong with her, however,



on an alarm he was caught and sent to jail.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case and no such incident has occurred. It is further submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has two criminal cases against his name, however, he is in custody since 12.03.2024.

5. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and has submitted that there is specific allegation against the petitioner to have sexually assaulted the minor victim girl who in her statement made under Section 164 Cr.P.C. has stated about him to have disrobed to commit wrong, however, she was saved on alarm.

6. Considering the nature of allegations made against the petitioner, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the prayer for bail is rejected.

7. It is made clear that the observations, if any, made in this order, shall be of no bearing during the trial.

(Sourendra Pandey, J)

Vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

