

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.57413 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-373 Year-2022 Thana- COMPLAINT CASE District- Jamui

Kartik Kumar S/o Late Umesh Prasad Burnwal R/o Village- Purani Bazar,
Near Gandhi Chowk, Jhajha, P.S.-Jhajha, District-Jamui

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Mughda Kumari @ Mughda Burnwal W/o Kartik Kumar R/o Village-
Purani Bazar, Near Gandhi Chowk, Jhajha, P.S.-Jhajha, District-jamui at
Present Ashish Kumar Burnwal brother of complainant Village- Purani
Bazar, Near Gandhi Chowk, Jhajha, P.S.-Jhajha, District-jamui

... .. Opposite Parties

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr. Yatindra Narayan, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr. Uma Shankar Prasad Singh, APP
For the O.P. No. 2 :	Mr. Ajit Kumar Bhardwaj, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

3 15-05-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
counsel for the informant and learned APP for the State.

2. This is the second anticipatory bail application of
the petitioner with a prayer to grant him pre-arrest bail in
connection with Complaint Case No. 373 (C) of 2022
registered for the offences under Sections 498A, 323, 504, 379
of the Indian Penal Code and Section 3/4 of the Dowry
Prohibition Act.

3. Earlier his prayer was rejected by this Court vide
order dated 23.08.2023.

4. Today, learned counsel for the parties have placed
before this Court a copy of the order dated 29.04.2025 passed in



Cr. Misc. No. 69824 of 2023. It is stated that both the parties have resolved their dispute in course of hearing of the said application. It is recorded in the order dated 29.04.2025 that both the parties are present in person with their learned Advocates. It is jointly submitted by parties that “they are now living together happily.”

5. A perusal of the order dated 29.04.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 69824 of 2023 would show that there is an interim order dated 06.02.2025. This Court has been informed that the order of “no coercive action” against the petitioner is still in existence.

6. In the aforesaid view of the matter, this Court finds that there is no apprehension of arrest to the petitioner.

7. This petition for anticipatory bail has become infructuous and is being disposed of accordingly.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

SUSHMA2/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

