

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.44861 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-79 Year-2025 Thana- BAUNSI District- Araria

-
1. Ranjeet Kumar Singh S/o Giranand Singh R/o Village- Nandanpur, ward no. 03, P.S.- Bausi, District- Araria
 2. Vickey Kumar Singh S/o Giranand Singh R/o Village- Nandanpur, Ward No. 03, P.S.- Bausi, District- Araria

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Kundan Kumar Singh, Advocate Ms. Rushali Singh, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Harendra Prasad, APP
For the Informant	:	Dr. Bidhu Ranjan, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 24-07-2025 Heard Mr. Kundan Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the petitioners, Dr. Bidhu Ranjan, learned counsel for the informant and Mr. Harendra Prasad, learned APP for the State.

2. After some arguments, learned counsel for the petitioners seeks permission to withdraw the present application with respect to petitioner no. 1 namely, Ranjeet Kumar Singh.

3. Permission is accorded.

4. The present application stands dismissed as withdrawn with respect to petitioner no. 1, namely, Ranjeet Kumar Singh.

5. Petitioner no. 2 is apprehending his arrest in connection with Bausi P.S. Case No. 79 of 2025, F.I.R. dated



11.05.2025 for the offences punishable under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 126(2), 76, 109, 3(5) of the B.N.S.

6. According to prosecution case, the petitioner along with other co-accused persons is said to have assaulted the informant and her family members. It is further alleged that accused persons snatched her silver chain, golden nose pin and bangle and they also looted Rs.81,000/- and threatened the informant.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in the present case. He further submits that although the petitioner is named in the FIR, but from a bare perusal of the FIR it appears that there is no specific allegation of any assault or overt act attributed against this petitioner rather there is general and omnibus allegation against all the accused persons including the petitioner. There is case and counter case between the parties and a co-accused persons, namely, Rupesh Kumar Singh and Mitthu Kumar Singh, against whom there was similar allegation, have been granted privilege of anticipatory bail by the learned court below itself.

8. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State and learned counsel for the informant have vehemently



opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the petitioner is named in the FIR and apart from that the petitioner carries one criminal antecedent other than the present one but fairly submits that the petitioner is on bail in the pending matter.

9. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, there is no specific allegation of any assault or overt act attributed against the petitioner, there is case and counter case between the parties and co-accused persons, namely, Rupesh Kumar Singh and Mitthu Kumar Singh have been granted privilege of anticipatory bail by the learned court below itself, let the petitioner no. 2 namely, Vickey Kumar Singh, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned C.J.M., Araria in connection with Bausi P.S. Case No. 79 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure/ 482(2) of the BNSS, 2023 and with other following conditions:-

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and



on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

priyanka/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

