

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.47400 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-591 Year-2024 Thana- PATLIPUTRA District- Patna

Rohit Kumar S/o Mukesh Das Resident of Noon Ka Chauraha Lalatoli, P.S.-
Khajekala, District- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ashok Kumar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rajiv Nayan, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHAILENDRA SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 15-10-2025 Heard Mr. Ashok Kumar, learned counsel for the
petitioner and Mr. Rajiv Nayan, learned APP for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Patliputra P.S. Case No. 591 of 2024 dated 30.12.2024
registered for the offences punishable under sections 331(4) and
305(a) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. As per the prosecution story, the informant had
gone to Deoghar (Baba Dham) with her family. In the morning
of 30.12.2024, the informant received information that the main
door of her residence had been burglarized by an unknown thief
on the night of 29.12.2024 and upon returning to her residence,
the informant found that the lock of the Godrej almirah was
broken and all the jewellery, her husband's licensed pistol with
bullets, cash, and other valuables, had been stolen.



4. The main submissions advanced by petitioner's counsel are that the petitioner has been languishing in jail since 14.01.2025 and he has been falsely implicated in the present matter of alleged theft and he is not named in the FIR and no incriminating article has been recovered from his possession. It is further submitted that the petitioner has been remanded in the present matter mainly on the basis of confessional statement of Golu Kumar, which has no evidentiary value in the eyes of law.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer of the petitioner and submits that the petitioner has criminal antecedents of twelve cases and the instant matter relates to the theft of several gold and silver articles along with the informant's husband's licensed firearms detailed in the FIR and during the course of investigation the petitioner was apprehended and from his rented room, several gold and silver ornaments as well as lock cutting equipments, were recovered, of which details is mentioned in the seizure list enclosed in the case diary, showing the details of 42 articles having been recovered from the rented room of the petitioner.

6. Considering the petitioner's long criminal history as detailed by learned APP and also mentioned in the petition, and taking into account the recovery of several incriminating



materials detailed in the seizure list enclosed with the case diary,
this Court is not inclined to release the petitioner on bail.
Accordingly, his prayer stands rejected.

(Shailendra Singh, J)

annu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

