

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.2039 of 2024

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.9101 of 2020

=====

Ramdev Mukhiya Son of Late Sahdev Das @ Sahdev Mukhiya Resident of
Village- Jiwachhpur, P.S.- Bhimpur, District- Supaul.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Dr. N. Vijaya Lakshmi, the Principal Secretary
Animal Husbandry and Fish Resources Department, Government of Bihar,
Patna.
2. Sri Taranjot Singh, The Director, Fisheries, Animal Husbandry and Fish
Resources Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. Sri Kaushal Kumar, The District Magistrate, Supaul.
4. Mr. Shambhu Kumar, The District Fisheries Officer-cum-Chief Executive
Officer, Supaul.
5. Sri Rakesh Kumar, The Circle Officer, Chhatarpur, District- Supaul.
6. Mr. Rashid Kalim Ansari, The Addition Collector, Supaul.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bhola Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Government Pleader -14

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

5 07-02-2025 Heard the parties.

2. The present contempt application has been preferred for initiating contempt proceeding against the opposite parties for willfully defying the order dated 13.02.2024 passed by this Court in C.W.J.C. No. 9101 of 2020 with observation to the Additional Collector, Supaul to conclude the matter within a period of six months after noticing and hearing all the necessary parties on the application alongwith the relevant documents filed by the petitioner.



3. Pursuant to the last order dated 17.01.2025, the show cause of the opposite party no.6, the Additional Collector, Supaul has come annexing the order dated 22.1.2025 in **Jamabandi Cancellation Case No. 05/2024-25 (State of Bihar vs. Sahdeo Das)** by which an order has been passed that instead of ‘**Ramdeo Mukhiya**’ which was entered erroneously, the name ‘**Ramesh Kumar Mukhiya**’ be read.

4. Direction was given, an order has been passed, the petitioner if so wants can take legal route to challenge the same.

5. So far as the contempt part is concerned, this Court is convinced that the writ Court’s order stands complied.

6. The MJC No. 2039 of 2024 stands disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

