

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.51426 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-68 Year-2025 Thana- DELHA District- Gaya

Sangram Singh, Son of Arun Singh, Mohalla-CBI Colony Bairagi, P.S.
-Delha, District -Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Vinod Kumar, Advocate Mr. Bindeshwari Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Binod Kumar No.3, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHAILENDRA SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 16-10-2025 Heard Mr. Vinod Kumar, learned counsel for the
petitioner and Mr. Binod Kumar No.3, learned APP for the
State.

2. Petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Delha P.S. Case No. 68 of 2025 dated 26.03.2025 registered for
the offences punishable under Sections 76, 109, 303(2) and
351(2) of the Bharatiya Nayaya Sanhita.

3. The main submissions advanced by the petitioner's
counsel are that there is case and counter-case in between both
the parties, Delha P.S. Case No. 69 of 2025, registered under
Sections 126(2), 115, 303(2), 324(4), 351(2), and 352 of the
BNS has been lodged on behalf of the petitioner's side against
the prosecution party, in order to create a defence and escape



from the petitioner's case, the FIR of the present matter was registered by the informant with false allegations, and as per the FIR, the petitioner assaulted the informant on the head with an axe, but, her injury report, annexed with this petition, clearly shows that she sustained simple injury, which was opined to be caused by hard and blunt object, thereby falsifying the alleged assault with an axe. It is lastly submitted that the petitioner has been languishing in jail since 27.03.2025, and his trial has not yet commenced, though he has criminal antecedents of two cases but he is on bail in both the said cases.

4. Learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that there is serious allegation against this petitioner as he firstly tried to outrage the modesty of the informant and on her opposition, assaulted her on the head by means of an Axe.

5. Considering the above stated facts and mainly the petitioner's custody period, the case and counter-case between both parties, in my opinion, it is a fit case for granting bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, let the petitioner named-above be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the concerned Court in connection with



Delha P.S. Case No. 68 of 2025 on the following conditions:-

(i) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and will be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the trial Court and shall remain physically present as and when directed by the trial Court and in case of his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the trial Court.

(ii) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or influences the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of his bail.

(iii) One of the bailors shall be a close relative of the petitioner who has sworn affidavit in this miscellaneous petition.

(Shailendra Singh, J)

maynaz/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

