

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.48681 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-385 Year-2023 Thana- FATEHPUR District- Gaya

Ashok Yadav S/O Indradeo Yadav R/O Village- Kodeya, P.S- Fatehpur,
Distric- Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Vinod Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Brajendra Nath Pandey, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 12-08-2025 Heard Mr.Vinod Kumar, learned counsel for the

petitioner and Mr.Brajendra Nath Pandey, learned Additional

Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Fatehpur P.S.Case No.385 of 2023,FIR dated 03.06.2023 registered for the offences punishable under Section 30(a) of Bihar Prohibition and Excise Amendment Act, 2018.

3. Recovery is of 400 liters of country made liquor.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has clean antecedent. It appears from the FIR as well as the seizure list that altogether 400 liters of country made liquor was recovered from four motorcycles in question and petitioner has been made accused in the present case merely on the ground that he is owner of one of the motorcycles in



question bearing Registration No.BR27C-3982. In fact the petitioner has given the said motorcycle in question for purchase of medicine to the accused person and he was apprehended alongwith the illicit liquor. There is non-compliance with mandatory procedure prescribed for recovery under Section 100 of Cr.P.C./Section 103 of BNSS, 2023. No case, whatsoever, would be made out against the petitioner under the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner referring the provision contained in Section 76(2) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act and submitted that the pre-arrest bail would not be maintainable.

6. This Court is aware of the decision of the Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav Vs. State of Bihar** reported in **2019 (2) PLJR 1089**. Having regard to the law laid down in the aforesaid judgment and the submission advanced on behalf of the parties, this Court for the limited purpose of grant of anticipatory bail, is inclined to accept the submission of Counsel for the petitioner.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts, petitioner has clean antecedent, nothing has been recovered from conscious



possession of the petitioner, and petitioner has been made accused in the present case merely on the ground that he is owner of one of the motorcycles in question bearing Registration No.BR27C-3982, let the petitioner, above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000 (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Exclusive Special Judge, Excise-4, Gaya in connection with Fatehpur P.S.Case No.385 of 2023, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure/ Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 and with other following conditions:-

(I) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his/her absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his/her bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(II) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(III) And, further condition that the court below shall



verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his/her criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Nitesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

