

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.45829 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-153 Year-2025 Thana- WAJIRGANJ District- Gaya

1. Pappu Manjhi S/O Late Tulsi Manjhi Resident of Village-Dakhingaon, Tola Gadahwapar, P.S- Wazirganj, District-Gaya.
2. Loha Manjhi S/O Late Ram Chandra Manjhi Resident of Village-Dakhingaon, Tola Gadahwapar, P.S- Wazirganj, District-Gaya.
3. Mina Devi W/O Late Ram Chanda Manjhi Resident of Village-Dakhingaon, Tola Gadahwapar, P.S- Wazirganj, District-Gaya.
4. Naresh Kumar @ Baithu Manjhi S/O Late Ram Chandra Manjhi Resident of Village-Dakhingaon, Tola Gadahwapar, P.S- Wazirganj, District-Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioners	:	Mr. Nand Kishore Prasad Sinha, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Arun Kumar Pandey, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 29-07-2025 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioners and learned APP appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 137(2), 140(3), 96 and 3(5) of the B.N.S..

3. The prosecution case, in brief, is that on 04.03.2025 at about 11:00 AM, informant's minor daughter went to market but she did not return. During search informant came to know that co-accused Chhotu Manji @ Gadar Manji kidnapped the victim. It is further alleged that when informant went to the



house of accused persons to inquire about the incident, he came to know that co-accused Chhotu Manjhi is traceless for the last 4 to 5 days. Informant believes that these petitioners assisted co-accused Chhotu Manjhi in kidnapping of his minor daughter.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners that petitioners are quite innocent and have committed no offence. The present F.I.R. has been lodged after inordinate delay of 14 days and there is no plausible explanation for the same. From bare perusal of the F.I.R. it is apparent that specific accusation of kidnapping daughter of informant is against co-accused Chhotu Manji @ Gadar Manji. Petitioners have falsely been implicated in this case merely because Petitioner Nos. 1 and 2 are cousin brothers, Petitioner No. 3 is mother and Petitioner No. 4 is own brother of co-accused Chhotu Manji. Petitioners have got no concern in the alleged occurrence. Petitioners claim clean antecedents.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, general and omnibus nature of accusation, delay in lodging of the F.I.R. and clean antecedents of the petitioners, the prayer



for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners is allowed.

7. Accordingly, in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, let the above named petitioners be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Gaya in connection with Wazirganj P.S. Case No. 153 of 2025, subject to condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S..

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

