

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.60595 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-132 Year-2024 Thana- KAUWAKOL District- Nawada

Amarjeet Kumar S/o Ram Sudama Chaudhary R/o vill - Telhara, P.S. -
Ekangar Sarai, Distt. - Nalanda

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arun Kumar No. 1

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ram Naresh Ray

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 17-01-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as
learned APP for the State.
2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 420, 409,
379, 467, 468, 477(A), 34 of the IPC.
3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant
alleges that inspection and audit team carried an inspection from
15.01.2024 to 09.02.2024 of various branches of the bank, when
it transpired that from Mahudar Branch of Dakshin Bihar
Gramin Bank illegally an amount of Rs.41,63,325/- was
withdrawn from 141 KCC loan account and an amount of
Rs.1,22,600/- was withdrawn from two saving accounts.
4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that



petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case with an allegation that he along with Naresh Mistri by using the user ID of Chandrabhushan Prasad have committed the occurrence. It is further submitted that the allegations are in the realm of allegation and are to be tested in a duly constituted criminal proceeding. It is further submitted that petitioner is an employee of the bank as such is aware of the consequences which would entail in the event if the allegation as alleged in the F.I.R. is proved against him in the trial. It is also submitted that during the course of investigation also no such material has transpired, which can connect the petitioner directly with the offence. It is also submitted that petitioner will not abscond rather will co-operate in the investigation to prove his innocence.

5. The learned APP opposes the anticipatory bail application of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, is directed to be released on bail on his furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Nawada in



connection with Kawakol P.S. Case No.132 of 2024, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

7. However, it is made clear that in the event if the Investigating Officer of the case files an application before the learned trial court bringing to its notice that petitioner despite giving assurance to this Court is not cooperating in the investigation or is not presenting himself as and when required, in that event, the learned trial court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

