

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL APPEAL (SJ) No.2417 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-281 Year-2020 Thana- DIGHWARA District- Saran

Shaileshwar Prasad @ Shailesh Prasad, aged about 53 years (M), Son of Ram Naresh Sah, Resident of Chakanur, Dighwara P.S -Dighwara, District -Saran at Chhapra

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Pankaj Kumar son of Sharavan Manjhi village and Po- Pekaulivado, P.S- Phulwaria, Dist- Gopalganj

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Yashraj Bardhan, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Usha Kumari 1, Special P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESH CHAND MALVIYA

ORAL ORDER

3 12-11-2025 Heard learned counsel for the appellant and learned counsel for the State.

2. The appellant apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 341, 323, 353 and 504 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 3(1)(r), (s) of the SC/ST Act, 1989.

3. As per allegation in the FIR, the informant was reviewing the preparation for Chhatt festival and about cleanliness as Dighwara town area as he was as Executive Officer in Dighwara Nagar Panchayat, the informant found the preparation of chattghats and cleanliness unsatisfactory for which he directed the appellant who is representative of an



outsourced agency, namely, Nari Utthan Kendra in the meantime, the appellant became arrogant and threatened the informant to see in future.

4. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that the appellant has falsely been implicated in this case. He next submits that the appellant has got one criminal antecedent in the same nature of the offence as stated in para-3 of the petition.

5. Learned APP for the Special P.P. has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the appellant and submits that the occurrence took place in public place and he has got one criminal antecedent in the same nature of the offence.

6. In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the fact that appellant has got one criminal antecedent in the same nature of the offence, so I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the appellant.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the appellant is rejected.

(Ramesh Chand Malviya, J)

Brajesh Kumar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

