

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.42062 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-233 Year-2024 Thana- ROSERA District- Samastipur

Pankaj Kumar Singh Son of Lalan Singh @ Saheb Singh Village- Muradpur
Ward No 10 P.s- Rosera District -Samastipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ajay Kumar Thakur, Adv.
		Mrs. Vaishnavi Singh, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Pushpa Sinha.1, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

3 15-10-2025 Heard Mr. Ajay Kumar Thakur, learned counsel for
the petitioner and the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Rosera P.S. Case No. 233 of 2024 for the offence registered under sections 103 of the BNS, 2023 lodged on 27.09.2024 by the informant, Shambhu Kumar.

3. As per the prosecution story, the dead body of a young girl was found floating in the Bagmati river. The Police arrived, the body was sent for post-mortem at Sadar Hospital, Samastipur and later, FIR was lodged.

4. During the course of investigation, it came to the case of honour killing with parents involved in it. One of the maternal uncle gave his statement before the Police that he



found the parents to be present with the dead body little realizing that she has been killed. Later, came to know that her dead body is floating in the river. It was formally cremated after the post-mortem.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though he has been clubbed in the category of accused, actually he despite being related to the deceased as maternal uncle, being of the same age, were in love with each other. He was shifted to other place while the girl was forced not to move ahead in the said relationship. Upon her refusal, the alleged honour killing took place. He should have been put in the category of an important witness, instead, deliberately, he has been clubbed in the category of accused.

6. Learned APP, on the other hand, has taken this Court to paragraph 24 to show that now process has been initiated against him and notice has already been affixed at his home.

7. Though the petitioner do have case, he may have been in the category of witness and may have spilled the beans, now that the notices have been issued, it would be appropriate that he seeks bail.

8. Accordingly, the anticipatory bail application stands



rejected.

9. If, however, the petitioner surrenders within a period of four weeks from today and files bail application, the Court concerned shall take into account the observations made and dispose of the said bail application preferably on the same day.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Vijay Singh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

