

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.46328 of 2024**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-16 Year-2023 Thana- NAWADAH COMPLAINT CASE
District- Nawada

=====

Ranjeet Chauhan @ Ranjeet Kumar SON OF RAJENDRA CHAUDHAN
VILLAGE- TARAMA BELDARI, PS- WAZIRGANJ, DIST- GAYA

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. PUJA KUMARI WIFE OF RANJEET CHAUHAN VILLAGE- JHUNATHI
WELDARI, PS- MUFFASIL, DIST- NAWADA

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Vishwa Ranjan Choudhary, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mrs. Shaheen Begum, APP
For the Complainant	:	Mr. Manish Kumar, Advocate
		Mr. Sushil Ranjan Sinha, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. SONI SHRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

9 16-04-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
APP for the State and learned counsel for the complainant.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Nawada Complaint Case no.16 of 2023 registered under
sections 498A and 323 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 3/4
of the D.P. Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant states
that her husband Ranjeet Chauhan, the petitioner herein, started
to assault the informant mentally and physically on account of
non-fulfillment of demand of dowry and also ousted her from
matrimonial house.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case. The allegation of demand of dowry and torture is false and concocted. The petitioner is always ready to keep his wife with full dignity and honour. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the occurrence is of 20.05.2019 and the instant complaint has been lodged on 05.01.2023 i.e. after delay of four years without giving any explanation of the said delay.

5. The application for anticipatory bail is opposed by learned A.P.P. for the State as also learned counsel for the opposite party no. 2.

6. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner makes an offer to pay Rs. 2,000/- to his wife in the second week of every month for her basic requirements. It goes without saying that the aforesaid payment shall be subject to any order passed in matrimonial maintenance case or any other collateral proceeding.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts of the case, it is directed that the petitioner, above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of four weeks, be released on anticipatory bail in connection with Nawada Complaint Case no.16 of 2023 on



furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned S.D.J.M, Nawada, subject to the condition laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure/Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S, 2023 and subject to the further condition that the petitioner shall co-operate in the investigation/trial.

8. If the opposite party no. 2 furnishes the Bank account in which the amount can be transferred and yet the petitioner fails to make the aforesaid payment of Rs. 2,000/- on two consecutive dates, the opposite party no. 2 would be at liberty to file cancellation of bail.

(Soni Shrivastava, J)

Harsh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

