

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10160 of 2024

M/S S.R. Construction

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar & Ors.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Rohit Raj, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Ajay Behari Sinha, GA- 8
		Ms. Kalpana, AC to GA-8
For I.D.A.	:	Mr. Utsav Anand, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NANI TAGIA
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

19 25-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India in which the petitioner has challenged the order dated 30.09.2023, passed by respondent No. 5 whereby the petitioner has been debarred from participating in any future tender.

3. When the matter is called out, learned advocate appearing for the contesting respondent has referred the supplementary counter affidavit filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 3 to 7 and thereafter referred the order dated 08.04.2025, passed by the respondent authority, copy of which is placed at page No. 259 of the compilation, wherein it has been



specifically stated that the order of debarment passed against the petitioner has been revoked. Learned counsel has also referred the order dated 06.05.2025, passed by this Court wherein also there is a reference with regard to withdrawal of the debarment order.

4. In view of the aforesaid development which has taken place after filing of the present petition, we are of the view that the present petition has become infructuous.

5. Accordingly, the petition stands disposed of as having become infructuous.

6. However, liberty is reserved to the petitioner to revive the present petition in case of any difficulty.

(Vipul M. Pancholi, CJ)

(Nani Tagia, J)

K.C.Jha/-

U			
---	--	--	--

