

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.41620 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-73 Year-2010 Thana- RIGA District- Sitamarhi

1. Rajan Sada, S/O Bifay Sada R/O Village-Revasi, P.S.-Riga, Distt-Sitamarhi
2. Rampravesh Sada, S/O Bhola Sada R/O Village-Revasi, P.S.-Riga, Distt-Sitamarhi

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Uday Kumar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anil Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHAILENDRA SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 11-07-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned APP for the State.

2. Petitioners seek regular bail in connection with Riga P.S. Case No. 73 of 2010 dated 24.05.2010 registered for the offence(s) punishable under Section(s) 363, 366, 372 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The main submissions advanced by petitioners' counsel are that the instant matter relates to abduction of the informant's daughter-in-law which allegedly took place in the year 2010 and the police did not find substance in the said allegation and submitted a final form, showing the case as a mistake of fact and thereafter the learned Magistrate took cognizance of the alleged offences against five accused persons including the petitioners and differed with the police conclusion.



It is further submitted that the so-called victim had been recovered shortly after the registration of the FIR and she had recorded her statement before trial court wherein she did not make any allegation against the petitioners and her allegations were confined only to two persons namely, Kantlal and Indal and she did not say anything regarding petitioners' involvement in the alleged abduction. It is lastly submitted that the petitioners had no information of the cognizance order and did not escape from the trial court's processes, as they were unaware of any such processes having been issued for getting their appearance.

4. Learned APP appearing for the State has opposed the bail prayer of the petitioners.

5. Considering the aforesaid submissions advanced by petitioners' counsel, this court is inclined to release the petitioners on bail. Accordingly, let the petitioners named-above be enlarged on bail in connection with Riga P.S. Case No. 73 of 2010 on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Court concerned.

(Shailendra Singh, J)

Rajiv/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

