

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL APPEAL (SJ) No.2411 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-450 Year-2024 Thana- RUNISAIDPUR District- Sitamarhi

1. Raja Sahni @ Raja Kumar Sahni @ Raja Babu Sahni Son of Biltu Sahni @ Pintu Sahni R/o Village - Chakdonai, Ward No.- 9, P.S.- Runnisaidpur, District - Sitamarhi.
2. Mukesh Sahni Son of Biltu Sahni @ Pintu Sahni R/o Village - Chakdonai, Ward No.- 9, P.S.- Runnisaidpur, District - Sitamarhi.

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Raja Kumar Son of Naresh Chaudhary R/o Village - Chakdonai, Ward No.- 9, P.S.- Runnisaidpur, District - Sitamarhi.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s	:	Mr. Krishna Murari, Advocate
For the State	:	Mrs. Usha Kumari 1, Special P.P.
For the Informant	:	Mr. Raushan Kumar, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

4 10-12-2025 Heard learned counsel for the appellants, Mrs. Usha Kumari-1, learned Special P.P. for the State as well as learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant.

2. This is an appeal under Section 14-A(2) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 (hereinafter referred to as the “SC/ST Act”) against the refusal of prayer for anticipatory bail vide order dated 30.04.2025, passed by learned Special Judge SC/ST (POA) Act-cum-District & Additional Sessions Judge-I, Sitamarhi in connection with Runnisaidpur P.S. Case No.450 of



2024, registered under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118, 76, 352 and 3(5) of B.N.S., 2023 as well as Section 3(i)(r)(s) of SC/ST (POA) Act.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the appellants submits that appellants are persons with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that on 28.11.2024 at around 06:00 p.m., he along with his sister were going to some place when accused persons including the appellants intercepted them on the road and appellant no.1 caught hand of informant's sister and molested her, on protest, accused persons assaulted the informant. On alarm, informant's another sister and mother came to rescue them but the accused persons assaulted them also and tore their clothes and Raja Sahni assaulted the informant by rod causing injury.

4. Learned counsel for the appellants submits that appellants have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that on account of dispute relating to shop, a false case came to be instituted thus, on account of dirty village politics, the appellants have been implicated.

5. The learned Special P.P. for the State and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant oppose the



appeal. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant submits that the FIR has been instituted by the informant who is brother of the victim and there is specific allegation in the FIR that appellant no.1, i.e., Raja Sahni caught hand of his sister and molested her and on protest, the informant along with her mother and other sister were assaulted. It is next submitted that it does not appear probable that a brother would falsely allege that his sister was molested on the road by the accused persons. It is submitted that the consequence of such allegation is far reaching hence, until and unless occurrence of the nature, as alleged, would not have taken place, the FIR would not have been instituted. It is also submitted that the presence of the accused persons at the place of occurrence emboldened appellant no.1 in acting inappropriately with the sister of the informant.

6. Considering the submissions made by learned counsel for the informant, this Court is not inclined to allow the appeal. Accordingly, the instant appeal is dismissed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sanjay/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

