

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.42318 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-495 Year-2025 Thana- MAHUA District- Vaishali

1. Rampari Devi Wife of Shatrudhan Sah R/O Village - Karanpura, P.S.- Mahua, District - Vaishali
2. Shatrudhan Sah Son of Late Mauji Lal @ Mauji Lal Sah R/O Village - Karanpura, P.S.- Mahua, District - Vaishali
3. Vibha Devi Wife of Amit Sah R/O Village - Karanpura, P.S.- Mahua, District - Vaishali
4. Suman Kumari Wife of Ravindra Sah D/O Shatrudhan Sah, At present R/O Village - Narayanpur, P.S.- Mahua, District - Vaishali, and permanent R/O Village - Karanpura, P.S.- Mahua, District - Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ravish Mishra, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anuj Kumar Shrivastava, A.P.P

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

2 09-07-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending arrest in connection with Mahua P.S. Case No. 495 of 2025 lodged on 22.04.2025, for the offence punishable under Sections 80 & 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. As per the prosecution, FIR has been lodged against seven named accused persons including the present petitioners alleging that they have killed the informant's daughter due to non-fulfillment of dowry demands.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence. Counsel submits that petitioner nos.1 and 2 are old aged persons as they are mother in law and father in law of the deceased. Petitioner no.3 is the *gotni* and petitioner no.4 is the married *nanad* of the deceased respectively. Counsel submits that in the FIR, there is no specific allegation and no demand of specific dowry is there. Counsel submits that the intimation of death of informant's daughter was provided by the petitioners' side to the informant's side on the same day and when they reached, they started misbehaving with petitioners' side. Subsequently, petitioner no.1 has made a written representation to the concerned police station which is annexed as Annexure-P/2. Counsel further submits that the husband of the deceased has been arrested on the same day of alleged occurrence and he is already in custody. Counsel also submits that the deceased was of angry nature and dispute always used to take place between the husband and wife. Counsel further submits that the petitioners have no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioners but submits that the husband of the deceased is already in custody.



6. As such, in the present facts and circumstances of this case, let the above named petitioners be released on anticipatory bail, in the event of arrest or surrender before the Trial Court within a period of 4 weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs.30,000/- (Rupees Thirty Thousand) each as mentioned in Section 2(1)(d) of the B.N.S.S., 2023 to the satisfaction of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Vaishali at Hajipur in connection with Mahua P.S. Case No. 495 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down U/s 482(2) of the B.N.S.S., 2023.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Divyansh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

